-against-

:

ROCKLAND CHRYSLER JEEP DODGE, INC. : and VINCENT ODOARDI, :

Plaintiff,

Jury Trial Demanded

**COMPLAINT** 

Defendants. :

Plaintiff, Maria Navarro ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorney, Peter A. Romero, Esq., alleges and complains as follows:

# **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants Rockland Chrysler Jeep Dodge, Inc. and Vincent Odoardi to remedy violations of the Pregnancy Discrimination Act ("PDA", Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e and the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law §290, et. seq. (the "Executive Law").
- 2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief, compensatory damages, liquidated damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and other appropriate relief.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 4. This Court may exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's Executive Law claims as authorized by 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).
- 5. Venue is proper within this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because the unlawful practices complained of herein occurred within the Southern District of New York and because Defendant resides within the Southern District of New York.
- 6. Plaintiff filed a timely charge of discrimination based on age with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Charge No. 520-2017-0092. Plaintiff brings this action within ninety days of the issuance of the Notice of Right to Sue issued by the EEOC.

## **THE PARTIES**

- 7. Plaintiff is an adult female resident of the State of New York.
- 8. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Plaintiff was an "employee" within the meaning of Title VII and a "person" within the meaning of N.Y. Exec. Law §292(1).
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rockland Chrysler Jeep Dodge, Inc. is a domestic corporation with its principal place of business in Westchester, New York.
  - 10. At all times relevant, Defendant employed more than twenty (20) employees.
- 11. Defendant was and is an "employer" within the meaning of Title VII and N.Y. Exec. Law §292(5).
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Vincent Odoardi has an ownership interest in the defendant corporation.

- 13. Defendant Vincent Odoardi has authority to hire and fire employees for the corporate defendant.
- 14. Defendant Vincent Odoardi participated in the conduct giving rise to the claims of discrimination and retaliation asserted in this action.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Plaintiff began working for Rockland Chrysler Jeep Dodge, Inc. in February 2016 as an internet coordinator. Plaintiff excelled in her work and had exemplary performance.
  - 16. On or about July 12, 2016, Plaintiff learned that she was pregnant.
- 17. On August 19, 2016, Plaintiff underwent a surgical procedure to treat cervical cancer. Plaintiff felt ill after the procedure and therefore reported late for work on August 20, 2016. Plaintiff's supervisor, Kenneth Campos, penalized Plaintiff for being tardy by dismissing her from work for the day.
- 18. On August 22, 2016, Plaintiff experienced bloody vaginal discharge. She called out sick and saw her physician on her next regular day off. Plaintiff's doctor informed her that she needed an emergency sonogram, which she had the next day. Upon Plaintiff's return to work, she provided Defendant with a note from her physician excusing her absences.
- 19. Defendant's Managing Partner, Vincent Odoardi ("Odoardi"), however, demanded "details" about Plaintiff's medical condition. Plaintiff began to cry and asked to speak with human resources. She was then instructed to "talk to Donna in payroll."
- 20. Upon information and belief, Donna has no human resources training or experience. Nevertheless, Plaintiff spoke to Donna about the General Manager's inappropriate demand for details about her medical condition, which was made in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

- 21. Approximately one hour later, Odoardi, and Donna issued Plaintiff a Final Written Warning and ultimatum that her position would be reduced from full-time to two days per week unless she resigned my employment. Odoardi sent Plainitff home for the remainder of the day to consider the ultimatum. Plaintiff was advised that she would be fired if she missed any days from work. Ironically, Defendant fired Plaintiff on Labor Day because she reported 5 minutes late to work due to morning sickness.
- 22. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because of her pregnancy and disability and retaliated against Plaintiff because she complained about Odoardi's inappropriate demand for details regarding her medical condition.
- 23. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff in the terms, conditions and privileges of her employment.
- 24. Due to Defendant's discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered the loss of her job and the opportunity to work, as well as emotional harm and distress.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 25. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 26. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because of her pregnancy in violation of the PDA and Title VII.
- 27. As a proximate result of the discrimination described herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer substantial loss of past and future earnings and other employment benefits.
- 28. As a proximate result of the discrimination described herein, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer severe and lasting embarrassment, humiliation and anguish, and other incidental and consequential damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

4

29. The conduct described herein was done in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 30. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 31. By the acts and practices described above, Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff in the terms and conditions of her employment on the basis of pregnancy in violation of the Executive Law.
- 32. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income and benefits, termination of employment, loss of opportunity for advancement and promotion, emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation.

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. By the acts and practices described above, Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff in violation of the Executive Law.
- 35. As a result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income and benefits, termination of employment, loss of opportunity for advancement and promotion, emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. By the acts and practices described above, Defendant Odoardi discriminated and retaliated against Plaintiff in violation of the Executive Law.

38. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income and benefits, termination of employment, loss of opportunity for advancement and promotion, emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment and humiliation.

# **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

- 39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.
  - 40. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues in the above matter.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and that the Court enter an award in favor of Plaintiff:

- (i) Declaring the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the PDA, Title VII and the Executive Law;
- (ii) Reinstatement of Plaintiff to an equivalent position;
- (iii) Damages in the form of back pay with interest;
- (iv) Front pay, in lieu of reinstatement;
- Compensatory damages for emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, (v) embarrassment and humiliation:
- (vi) Punitive damages under the PDA and Title VII:
- (vii) Attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements; and
- (viii) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Babylon, New York October 11, 2017

LAW OFFICE OF PETER A. ROMERO PLLC

By:

Peter A. Romero, Esq. 103 Cooper Street Babylon, New York 11702 Tel. (631) 257-5588

promero@romerolawny.com

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

# Case 7:17-cv-07780-NSR Document 1 Filed 10/11/17 Page 7 of 7 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION ("EEOC")

3	Maria Navarro 324 W. Clarkestow New City, NY 1095		From	New York District C 33 Whitehall Street, New York, NY 1000	,5 <sup>th</sup> Floor *
		on(s) aggrieved whose identity is (29 CFR §1601.7(a))			
EEOC Cha	rge No.	EEOC Representative		~	E-Mail Address:
520-20	017-00992	Patrick Sanford, Federal I	nvestigator		Patrick.Sanford@ eeoc.gov
		(See also the additional inf	ormation enclosed	I with this form.)	
Title VII of Act (GINA) Discriminat or state co	): This is your Notice tion ("Charge"). It ha ourt <u>WITHIN 90 DAY</u>	t of 1964, the Americans with Di of Right to Sue, issued under Title s been issued at your request. Yo Sof your receipt of this notice; ed on a claim under state law may	e VII, the ADA or Cour lawsuit under Toor your right to suc	GINA based on the above-nu Fitle VII, the ADA or GINA <b>m</b>	imbered Charge of ust be filed in a federal
7	More than 180	days have passed since the filing	of this Charge.		
		days have passed since the filing on the filing of the contraction of this Charge was a contraction.			unlikely that the EEOC will
2	The EEOC is te	erminating its investigation of this C	Charge.	v	
	The EEOC will	continue to investigate this Charge	€.		•
until 90 day		rment Act (ADEA): You may sue notice that we have completed acti			
		osing its investigation of this Char <u>FHIN 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of ost.			
		ontinuing its investigation of your A ay file suit in federal or state court			d since the filing of this
brought in f	federal or state court	ady have the Right to Sue under th within 2 years (3 years for willful vic curred <u>more than 2 years (3 yea</u>	lations) of the alleg	ged EPA underpayment. The	is means that backpay
If you file su	uit, based on this Cha	irge, please send a copy of your co	urt complaint to thi	s office.	
		On behalf of the	ne Commission		
	· 4	Kew J	Donge	n	SEP 29 2017
Enclosures(s)			J. Berry, t Director		(Date Mailed)
Ro 60	spondent: ockland Chrysler Jed Route 304 nuet, NY 10954	Respondent's Atte pp Dodge Jackson Lewis, P Attn: Joseph Mart 44 South Broadwa White Plains, NY (914) 872-8060	C Law in, Esq. 103 ay – 14 Fl. Bab	rging Party's Attorney: Office of Peter Romero Cooper Street ylon, NY 11702 ) 257-5588	